

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,102	06/28/2005		Guang Jian	JCLA17103	4289
23900	7590	08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
J C PATEN			PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE		
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3742	
				DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/541,102	JIAN, GUANG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Joseph M. Pelham	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status .							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2005 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 4, recites "said inner cavity," which lacks antecedent basis, and should evidently instead be "inner container."

Claim 3, line 2, recites "the concave surface," which structure has not been established.

Claim 7, line 2, recites "said inner heat-insulating casing," which lacks antecedent basis in claim 1

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of JP 06-46953 (JP'953).

The admitted prior art discloses a cooker and upper cover with a non-zero distance between the inner container and the heater. The claims differ substantively from the admitted prior art only in calling for a temperature detector in the cover and fixed to a heat conducting plate. However JP'953 discloses, at Fig's 2 and 3 especially, a temperature detector 202 in the cover and fixed to a heat conducting plate (208 or 209). It would have been obvious to adapt the cover detector of JP'953 to the prior art cooker to better control cooking and to avoid condensation on the lid. While JP'953 does not explicitly disclose a silicone cover seal (claims 4 & 8), bottom temperature over protection sensor means, or a three layer insulating housing structure, these limitations do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. It would have been obvious to utilize a silicone cover seal, bottom temperature over protection sensor means, and a three layer insulating housing structure since these are conventional means commended respectively by the need to avoid condensation leaking and dripping down the outer housing, to avoid overheating, and to maintain the outer housing at temperature suitable for hand contact.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M. Pelham whose telephone number is 571-272-4786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/24/06

JOSEPH PELHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINES